

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,086	04/24/2001	Hiroshi Tanuma	NEC-456-US	2170	
30743	30743 7590 10/04/2005			EXAMINER	
	и, CURTIS & CHRIST	KESACK, DANIEL			
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ŷ	١
---	---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/840,086	TANUMA, HIROSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dan Kesack	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22 and 24 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/840,086

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiota et al. U.S Patent No. 6,324,521, in view of Walker et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,754,636.
- 4. Shiota discloses a network photograph service system comprising a first terminal (see 6, figure 1), which carries out a processing of images and transmits them to a central server via a network, said images being stored on the hard disk of the central server, and where said images are transferred to a second terminal in accordance with order information identifying said images (column 10 lines 9-20). Shiota teaches, "network as represented by the Internet" (column 2 lines 56-57).

Application/Control Number: 09/840,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

5. Shiota fails to teach transmitting an identification number along with said processed images to a central server, and transmitting said identification number from a second terminal to said central server.

- 6. Walker discloses an on-line service providing method (figures 1-26c) comprising carrying out a combination of information at a first terminal (i.e., "buyer device" 210) and transmitting combined information and an identification number via the Internet 110 to a commerce provider server (i.e. seller device 510). Also, Walker discloses a store site (column 15, lines 56-67) having a second terminal and thus allowing for information to be inputted and transmitted to the commerce provider server.
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to include the identification number feature of Walker in the photograph service system of Shiota in order to allow the customer to track orders, and to allow customers to place orders using said identification number, directly from said second terminal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Kesack whose telephone number is 571-272-5882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/840,086 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Uneas sulli